Binghamton University

Thomas J. Watson College of Engineering and Applied Science

A. Plagiarism

Presenting the work of another person as one's own work (including papers, words, ideas, information, computer code, data, evidence organizing principles, or style of presentation of someone else taken from the internet, books, periodicals, or other sources). Plagiarism includes:

- quoting, paraphrasing, or summarizing without acknowledgement, even a few phrases failing to acknowledge the source of either a major idea or ordering principle central to one's own paper
- relying on another person's data, evidence, or critical method without credit or permission
- submitting another person's work as one's own
- using unacknowledged research sources gathered by someone else.

B. Cheating on Examinations

Giving or receiving unauthorized help before, during, or after an examination. Examples include:

- unauthorized collaboration of any sort during an examination
- reading of an exam before it has been given
- unauthorized use of notes, books, tapes, computers, or other aids during an examination
- allowing another person to take an examination in one's place
- looking at someone else's examination during the examination period
- allowing another person to use one's own examination during the examination period
- passing examination information to students who have not yet taken the exam

C. Multiple Submissions

Submitting substantial portions of the same work for credit more than once, unless there is prior explicit consent of the instructor(s) to whom the material is being or has been submitted.

D. Unauthorized Collaboration

Collaborating on projects, papers, computer programs, or other academic assignments that has been prohibited by the instructor.

E. Fabrication and Misrepresentation

Misrepresenting or fabricating material, including misleading citation of sources as well as falsified or fabricated data or results from experiments or other analyses.

Misrepresenting facts related to academic performance, including the justification of absences, late assignments, and other activities.

F. Forgery

Imitating another person's signature on academic documents (for example, an academic advising form or one's own paper that is signed with respect to the time of submission) or other official documents that have an effect on academic credit (for example, a medical form submitted in support of taking a make-up exam).

G. Sabotage

Deliberately impairing, destroying, damaging, or stealing another's work or working material. Example 4 mpleta 3 (np damagige 0 (doc) 4 (he) 4 r) 3 (') 2 (ng) 0 (m2(n) - 0 (g) 0 (, or) 2 (s) - 13 (') 2 (s) - 1 (w) 2 (o) - 0 (r) 3 (s) - 1 (w) 2 (w) 2 (s) - 1 (w) 2 (

Interpretation of the Code

Violations of the Code vary in severity, so that the appropriate punishments vary. Some violations (Category I) may be handled by the instructor and student(s) involved. However, violations requiring more severe penalties (Category II) are appropriately dealt with by the Academic Honor Committee in accordance with procedures laid out in the Code of Student Conduct. The Academic Honor Committee consists of four faculty members appointed by each department. The Associate Dean will appoint one member as Chair of the Committee.

Category I violations are serious but may be dealt with by the instructor. Category II violations may result in letters of reprimand, probation, suspension or expulsion from the University. Behavior explicitly permitted in a course syllabus or explicitly permitted by the instructor for a specific assignment is not a violation of the Code.

- **A. Plagiarism.** This can be either a Category I or Category II violation, depending on the amount of material that is plagiarized and the degree of premeditation. A Category I violation involves small amounts of plagiarized material, for example a single passage or a relatively minor idea. Category II violations occur when more material is plagiarized, or where central ideas are plagiarized. Category II violations may involve more planning and premeditation.
- **B. Cheating on Examinations.** This can be either a Category I or Category II violation, depending on the level or amount of unauthorized help given or received on the examination and the degree of premeditation. Category I includes looking at another's examination or collaborating on a small portion of the examination. Category II violations involve cheating on most or all of an entire examination, for example providing a copy of an examination to another student or allowing another student to take an examination in one's place. Category II violations may involve more planning and premeditation.
- **C. Multiple Submissions.** This is a Category I violation.
- **D. Unauthorized Collaboration.** This is a Category I violation, unless it also involves Category II offenses.
- **E. Fabrication and Misrepresentation.** This can be a Category I or II violation.
- **F. Forgery.** This is a Category II violation.
- **G. Sabotage.** This is a Category II violation.
- **H. Bribery.** This is a Category II violation.

Note that misconduct involving sections E, F, G, and H in these guidelines refers only to such offenses when committed for an academic purpose as defined in the Student Academic Honesty Code; any violations involving other aspects of student life or subject to Federal, State, and/or local law are dealt with through the University Judicial System.

Category I Violations. If an instructor discovers one of these violations then the instructor should first communicate with the student regarding the nature of the charge and the evidence on which the instructor has relied in reaching the conclusion that a violation has occurred. The student should be given the opportunity to respond. If the instructor remains convinced by the preponderance of evidence that a violation has occurred, then the instructor may check to see if there is a record of a previous violation by the student. Students who are accused of a second Category I offense will be treated as being charged with a Category II offense, and referred to the committee of the College in which the offense occurred.

If there is no previous violation, then the faculty member should impose the appropriate penalty. The instructor should then fill in a Report of Academic Dishonesty Form describing the violation that occurred, and the evidence supporting that finding. The Form will also explain to the student the procedures whereby the student may appeal the decision. The student will then be asked to read and sign the form and will be provided with a copy. If the student chooses not to sign the form, the case goes to a hearing before the Watson College Academic Honor Committee. The instructor will then forward the Report of Academic Dishonesty Form along with the supporting evidence to the Associate Dean of Academic Affairs who will forward a copy to the Provost's office where it will be kept on file.

Category II Violations. If an instructor discovers a Category II violation, the instructor should first communicate with the student regarding the nature of the charge and the evidence on which the instructor has relied in reaching the conclusion that a violation has occurred. If the instructor remains convinced that a Category II violation has occurred, then she/he should submit a detailed written charge with supporting evidence to the Associate Dean of Academic Affairs who will facilitate the appropriate process with the Academic Honor Committee. The student will be notified of the charge and the date of the hearing, and will receive a copy of the committee procedures. The instructor should assign an incomplete grade for the student's work, pending the outcome of the hearing. If after the hearing, the committee concludes that the charges were unproven then the faculty member should re-evaluate the student's work in light of that finding. In determination of any penalty for Category II violations, the Academic Honor Committee will consider all relevant factors including the nature of the violation and any previous violations that may have been committed by the student. The Chair of the appropriate committee will report any guilty findings along with the supporting evidence to the Associate Dean of Academic Affairs who will forward a copy to the Provost's office where it will be kept on file.

The Watson form used to report Category I academic dishonesty is provided on the next page.

REPORT OF CATEGORY I ACADEMIC DISHONESTY

This report concerns the following course:	
Course number and name:	semester taught:
Part I (To be filled out by the faculty member)	
I,	(print name and title) have found that
Binghamton University's Code of Academic Ho	(name and B-number of student) has violated onesty in the following way:
I have given the following penalty in connection	with the academic work affected by this violation:
I understand that submission of this form waives with this incident of academic dishonesty.	s my right to take any additional action in connection
	Signature
	Date
Part II (To be filled out by the student)	
I	_ (PRINT name and B-number) admit to
(describe the cate	gory of dishonesty, i.e. cheating, plagiarism) in
Procedures of the Academic Honesty Committee understand that I have a right to a hearing before	e, number, and semester). I have reviewed the e of the College in which this offense occurred and the Committee, that my signature below signifies my Td (my)Tj-35-3.8Td (wat2Tw 0cremaw)Tj Tw tw 33.523.523.523.523.523.523.523.523.523.5